

Message

From: CN=Dennis McLerran/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US [CN=Dennis McLerran/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US]
Sent: 5/18/2012 9:44:47 PM
To: John Shively [johnshively@pebblepartnership.com]
CC: parkin.richard@epa.gov; holsman.marianne@epa.gov; CN=Bill Dunbar/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA; olsman.marianne@epa.gov; CN=Bill Dunbar/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA; N=Bill Dunbar/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA
Subject: Re: PLP's data

John:

There was a question from a reporter about whether we had received Pebble's data. I believe what I said was something like we did receive Pebble's data, that we had reviewed it and used some of it in our assessment, but it had limited utility for us because it was not raw data in a format that we could manipulate. I certainly did not want to give the impression, and I don't think I did, that Pebble didn't provide us with your data. I can talk with you more about this if you would like.

Dennis

From: John Shively <johnshively@pebblepartnership.com>
To: Dennis McLerran/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Cc: Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/18/2012 02:05 PM
Subject: PLP's data

Dennis,

It is my understanding that at your press conference this morning in response to a question about whether EPA had used PLP's data, you or someone else from EPA said something like, "No, because PLP didn't give us their raw data."

As you know, that is an incorrect statement. We gave you our environmental baseline document and then responded to several specific requests for more data. The last data request EPA made cost us \$6,500. I assume the other requests had similar costs.

It is correct to say that we did not give you the data in a digital format, but it is incorrect to say that you did not receive our raw data.

John

John Shively
CEO
Tel: 907 339 2610
Cell: Personal Phone / Ex. 6